

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

Case Number CR-05-516 JF  
ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIALv. Emily Treletton, Defendant.

In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on 10/05.  
 Defendant was present, represented by his attorney Scott Freiwig. The United States was represented by  
 Assistant U.S. Attorney J. Meng.

FILED  
SEP 19 2005

## PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and ~~the defendant has been~~  
 convicted of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal ~~offense~~  
 offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person from  
 imprisonment, whichever is later.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the  
 safety of any other person and the community.

~~A~~ There is probable cause based upon (the indictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the  
 defendant has committed an offense

A. ~~A~~ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. § 801 et  
 seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et seq., OR

B.        under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firearm during the commission of a felony.

This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the  
 appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.

/ / No presumption applies.

## PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE

/ / The defendant has not come forward with any evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore  
 will be ordered detained.

~~A~~ The defendant has come forward with evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: she is willing  
to deal with her substantial drug problem w/in patient drug treatment  
 Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.

## PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)

~~A~~ The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions  
 will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR

/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions  
 will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.

## PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

~~A~~ The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted  
 at hearing and finds as follows: The defendant is charged with violations of 21 USC § 846  
and 841(b)(1)(A)(vii), 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A)(viii). She has a serious  
drug addiction. She has a failure to appear in the county diversion  
drug program. Her residential history is unstable. The United States  
believes that her role in this case is significant. Her unlawful attempts  
at addressing her drug problem are wanton. The court has no  
doubt about her serious drug problem and thus HER RECOMMENDS HER for

/ / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived written findings. the WINGS Program which

PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION in Santa Clara Co. Jail.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a  
 corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending  
 appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court  
 of the United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver  
 the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Dated: 9/19/05  
 AUSA       , ATTY       , PTS       

Patricia V. Trumbull  
 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL  
 United States Magistrate Judge